

Eukaryotic DNA Polymerases: Proposal for a Revised Nomenclature*

Published, JBC Papers in Press, September 28, 2001, DOI 10.1074/jbc.R100056200

Peter M. J. Burgers^{a,b}, Eugene V. Koonin^c, Elspeth Bruford^d, Luis Blanco^e, Kenneth C. Burtis^f, Michael F. Christman^g, William C. Copeland^h, Errol C. Friedbergⁱ, Fumio Hanaoka^j, David C. Hinkle^k, Christopher W. Lawrence^l, Makoto Nakanishi^m, Haruo Ohmoriⁿ, Louise Prakash^o, Satya Prakash^o, Claude-Agnes Reynaud^p, Akio Sugino^q, Takeshi Todo^r, Zhigang Wang^s, Jean-Claude Weill^p, and Roger Woodgate^t

From the ^aDepartment of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110, ^cNational Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20894, ^dHUGO Nomenclature Committee, The Galton Laboratory, University College of London, London NW1 2HE, United Kingdom, ^eCentro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa, Universidad Autónoma, 28049 Madrid, Spain, ^fSection of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of California, Davis, California 95616, ^gDepartment of Microbiology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22908, ^hLaboratory of Molecular Genetics, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, ⁱDepartment of Pathology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas 75235-9072, ^jInstitute for Molecular and Cellular Biology, Osaka University, Osaka 565-0871, Japan, ^kDepartment of Biology, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627-0211, ^lDepartment of Biochemistry and Biophysics, School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14642, ^mDepartment of Biochemistry, Nagoya City University Medical School, Nagoya 467-8601, Japan, ⁿInstitute for Virus Research, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan, ^oSealy Center for Molecular Science, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas 77555-1061, ^pFaculté de Médecine Necker-Enfants Malades, 75730 Paris Cedex 15, France, ^qResearch Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University, Osaka 565-0871, Japan, ^rRadiation Biology Center, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan, ^sGraduate Center for Toxicology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40536, ^tSection on DNA Replication, Repair, and Mutagenesis, NICHD, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892-2725

In 1975, a Greek letter nomenclature system was introduced to designate DNA polymerases from mammalian cells (1). Ten years ago, progress in the biochemical analysis of eukaryotic DNA polymerases and in the isolation of their genes, particularly in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, necessitated a revision of the Greek letter nomenclature system and an expansion to include all eukaryotic organisms (2). Until a few years ago, this system sufficed to designate the six known DNA polymerases α , β , γ , δ , ϵ , and ζ .

Three lines of research have greatly expanded the number of DNA polymerases in the last two years. First, with the advent of the human and mouse genome projects, sequence analysis allowed the identification of additional putative DNA polymerases related to *Escherichia coli* Pol I¹ and mammalian Pol β (3–6). Second, the realization that *E. coli* UmuC and DinB, yeast *RAD30*, and the human xeroderma pigmentosum variant genes encode DNA polymerases has led to the identification of several additional DNA polymerases in this superfamily (7–11). Third, advanced search algorithms based on DNA polymerase structure-function relationships have allowed the prediction of additional putative DNA polymerases, which prediction was later confirmed by biochemical analysis (12–14). This rapid proliferation of DNA polymerases, either predicted from search algorithms or experimentally verified, resulted in an inevitable confusion and contradiction in the naming of these enzymes. Therefore, the scientists active in this field are pro-

posing a revised nomenclature to resolve contradictions in polymerase designations and to ensure that the naming of subsequent enzymes be under the advice of an established nomenclature committee.

Resolution of Contradictions in Current Literature

A novel human DNA polymerase in the X family of DNA polymerases had independently been identified by several groups, but the enzyme was named Pol $\beta 2$ by one group (15) and Pol λ by two other groups (4, 6). In conformity with the new proposed rules for naming DNA polymerases, the name Pol λ will be adopted for this enzyme. A putative DNA polymerase with homology to *E. coli* DNA polymerase I, which had been designated Pol θ for the human enzyme (3) but Pol η for the *Drosophila* enzyme (16, 17), will be called Pol θ as Pol η is already used to designate the unrelated yeast *RAD30* encoded DNA polymerase (10). A human homologue of *E. coli* DinB, *i.e.* the human *DINB1* gene, had independently been identified by several groups. However, the enzyme was designated DNA Pol θ by one group (18) and Pol κ by other groups (19–21). We have chosen to adopt the name Pol κ for the mammalian *DINB1* enzyme. Finally, the name Pol κ had also been assigned to a DNA polymerase encoded by the *S. cerevisiae* *TRF4* gene, required for sister chromatid cohesion (14). To maintain a coherent and logical nomenclature across eukaryotic phyla, the DNA polymerase encoded by *TRF4* has been renamed Pol σ . Table I gives an overview of the currently known eukaryotic DNA polymerases.

Proposal of New Rules

To avoid future confusion and contradictions in DNA polymerase designations, we are proposing the following rules. 1) The human genome nomenclature committee (www.gene.ucl.ac.uk/)

* This minireview will be reprinted in the 2001 Minireview Compendium, which will be available in December, 2001.

^b Supported in part by Grant GM58534 from the National Institutes of Health. To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: burgers@biochem.wustl.edu.

¹ The abbreviation used is: Pol, polymerase.

TABLE I
Proposed nomenclature for eukaryotic DNA polymerases

S. cerevisiae genes (in italics) and conflicting names are listed under "Other Names." See text for details.

Greek name	HUGO name	Class	Other names	Proposed main function
α (alpha)	POLA	B	<i>POL1</i>	DNA replication
β (beta)	POLB	X		Base excision repair
γ (gamma)	POLG	A	<i>MIP1</i>	Mitochondrial replication
δ (delta)	POLD1	B	<i>POL3</i>	DNA replication
ϵ (epsilon)	POLE	B	<i>POL2</i>	DNA replication
ζ (zeta)	POLZ	B	<i>REV3</i>	Bypass synthesis
η (eta)	POLH	Y	<i>RAD30</i> , XPV	Bypass synthesis
θ (theta)	POLQ	A	mus308, eta	DNA repair
ι (iota)	POLI	Y	<i>RAD30B</i>	Bypass synthesis
κ (kappa)	POLK	Y	DinB1, theta	Bypass synthesis
λ (lambda)	POLL	X	<i>POL4</i> , beta2	Base excision repair
μ (mu)	POLM	X		Non-homologous end joining
σ (sigma)	POLS	X	<i>TRF4</i> , kappa	Sister chromatid cohesion
	REV1L	Y	<i>REV1</i>	Bypass synthesis
	TDT	X		Antigen receptor diversity

nomenclature; E-mail: nome@galton.ucl.ac.uk) has agreed to coordinate the nomenclature of all eukaryotic DNA polymerases. A polymerase should only be given a Greek letter designation with approval by the HUGO nomenclature committee. Greek letter denominations for putative DNA polymerases can be reserved pending experimental verification. As usual, the burden of proof remains acceptance of the experimental work in a peer-reviewed scientific journal. 2) In general, all DNA polymerases will follow the one gene→one polymerase rule. However, the *TRF* family of DNA polymerases, required for sister chromatid cohesion, will constitute an exception to this rule. Studies in *S. cerevisiae*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, and mammalian cells have shown these to be multigene families with two members (*TRF4* and *TRF5*) in *S. cerevisiae* (14), as many as six possible family members (the *cid* genes) in *S. pombe* (22), and at least two identified family members in human cells. Because of the potential for a multitude of DNA polymerases involved in sister chromatid cohesion and related processes, the *TRF4*-related DNA polymerases will all be designated Pol σ , with each individual family member designated with a suffix, *i.e.* Pol $\sigma 1$. 3) A class of nucleotidyltransferases with *S. cerevisiae* *REV1* as founding member uniquely inserts deoxycytidylate residues, preferentially opposite abasic template sites (23). Because of its unique enzymatic character, no polymerase designation has been given to this enzyme even though sequence-based considerations place it in the Y class of DNA polymerases (24). Similar considerations apply to terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase, an X class template-independent enzyme (Table I).

Classification of DNA Polymerases and Occurrence across Eukaryotic Phyla

DNA polymerases can be classified in six main groups based upon phylogenetic relationships with *E. coli* Pol I (class A), *E. coli* Pol II (class B), *E. coli* Pol III (class C), Euryarchaeotic Pol II (class D), human Pol β (class X), and *E. coli* UmuC/DinB and eukaryotic *RAD30*/xeroderma pigmentosum variant (class Y) (24–27). All known eukaryotic enzymes are either class A, class B, class X, or class Y enzymes (Table I). No eukaryotic homologs of class C or class D DNA polymerases were detected (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) despite detailed sequence searches using the PSI-BLAST program (28, 29).

For each distinct human DNA polymerase we searched for putative orthologs in the completely sequenced genomes of *S. cerevisiae*, *S. pombe*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, and *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Table II). Clear and unambiguous orthologs exist in all eukaryotes for the class B enzymes Pol α , Pol δ , and Pol ϵ , required for nuclear DNA replication, and also for Pol ζ , a class B enzyme involved in

mutagenic DNA replication. These enzymes have been extensively reviewed and will not further be discussed here (30–34).

Pol β , Pol λ , and Pol μ —Two enzymes of this set of related DNA polymerases may be involved in short-patch DNA excision repair. Both human Pol β and human Pol λ show deoxyribose phosphate lyase activity, indicative of their ability to process intermediates in the DNA glycosylase-initiated repair of damaged bases (35, 36). A function for Pol μ in somatic hypermutation has been proposed based upon its low fidelity of DNA synthesis *in vitro* and its cell type-specific expression pattern in mammals (5, 37). Moreover, a more general role of Pol μ in non-homologous end joining of double-stranded DNA breaks has also been proposed (38). Interestingly, neither of these three enzymes is found in *D. melanogaster* or in *C. elegans*, suggesting that base damage in these organisms is exclusively repaired by the long-patch mechanism, requiring the nuclease FEN1 and the replication clamp proliferating cell nuclear antigen (39, 40). The virtual lack of sensitivity to several DNA-damaging agents in a *S. cerevisiae* null mutant of the single β -like DNA polymerase gene *POL4*, which appears to be the ortholog of Pol λ , strongly suggests that base damage is efficiently repaired by the long-patch base excision repair pathway in this organism (41, 42). Contrasting with *S. cerevisiae*, the β -like enzyme in *S. pombe* (SPAC2F7.06c) is the apparent ortholog of Pol μ (Table II). The metazoan but not the yeast Pol λ/μ proteins have consensus BRCT (BRCA1) domains at their N termini.

Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ —These three related DNA polymerases are required for bypass of various forms of DNA damage (for recent reviews, see Refs. 43–49). The damage specificity of these enzymes shows limited overlap (10, 18, 19, 21, 50–55). Pol η and Pol ι appeared to have evolved through a lineage-specific duplication in animals, so these two paralogs together should be considered orthologous to the single counterpart in other organisms. Orthologs are found in each of the five eukaryotic organisms investigated (Table II). Surprisingly, Pol ι was also found to possess deoxyribose-phosphate lyase activity, like Pol β and Pol λ , perhaps implicating it in a specialized form of base excision repair (56–58). In contrast to the three bypass enzymes Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ , the related deoxycytidylate transferase Rev1, which is required for mutagenesis, is clearly represented in each organism (34) (Table II).

Pol σ —This DNA polymerase, which is very distantly related to the other members of the Pol X superfamily, is represented by two closely related paralogs in human, *S. cerevisiae*, *D. melanogaster*, and *S. cerevisiae*, four paralogs in *S. pombe*, and one highly conserved version in *C. elegans* and *A. thaliana* (Table II). In addition, humans have at least two, *C. elegans* at

TABLE II
Orthologs of human DNA polymerases in five completely sequenced eukaryotic organisms

Polymerases are grouped by class and by proposed function. Probable orthologous relationships were established by detecting bi-directional, genome-specific best hits in BLAST searches (62). For each organism, we list the probable ortholog by gene name or GenBank accession number, followed by the length of the protein. For the Y class polymerases, the orthologous relationships were determined by phylogenetic analysis (24, 63).

Class	Human Polymerase - Access. # -a.a.	<i>D. melanog.</i> Access. # -a.a.	<i>C. elegans</i> Access. # -a.a.	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> Gene -a.a.	<i>S. pombe</i> Gene -a.a.	<i>A. thaliana</i> Access. # -a.a.
B	α - CAA29920 - 1462	AAB24152 -1490	CAB97239 -1443	<i>POL1</i> -1468	<i>Poll</i> -1465	BAB10944 -1492
	δ - AAA58439 - 1107	CAA61369 -1092	CAB04077 -1081	<i>POL3</i> -1097	<i>Cdc6</i> -1086	BAA96899 -1081
	ε - AAC19148 - 2286	BAB17608 -2220	CAB04263 -2144	<i>POL2</i> -2222	<i>Cdc20</i> -2199	AAC77870 -2154
	ζ - AAB88486 - 3130	AAF59191 -1869	AAK31564 -1154	<i>REV3</i> -1504	SPAC688.10 -1480	AAG52299 -1871
X	β - BAA06099 - 335	-	-	-	-	-
	λ - CAB65074 - 575	-	-	<i>POL4</i> -582	-	CAC21394 -529
	μ - AAF26284 - 494	-	-	-	SPAC2F7.06c -506	-
	σ ^a - BAA24434.2 - 542	AAF46390 -1059 AAF56369 -407	CAB02138 -848	<i>TRF4</i> -584 <i>TRF5</i> -625	<i>Cid14</i> -616 <i>Cid12</i> -336 <i>Cid1</i> -405 <i>Cid11</i> -478	BAB09549 -533
Y ^b	η - BAA81666 - 713	AAF51794 -885	-	-	-	-
	ι - AAD50381 - 715	AAF54198 -737	AAB70988 -603	<i>RAD30</i> -632	<i>Eso1</i> -872	AAC79146 -689
	κ - AAF02540 - 870	-	AAA65458 -598 ^c	-	SPCC553.07c -547 ^c	AAF76444 -435
	Rev1 - BAB21441 - 1250	AAF47401 -995	CAA86844 -1027	<i>REV1</i> -985	SPBC215.16c -935	AAC79145 -955
A	γ - BAA12223 - 1239	AAC47658 -1145	CAB60771 -1072	<i>MIP1</i> -1280	<i>Mip1</i> -1018	-
	θ - AAK39635 - 2724	AAF54858 -2059	AAB93325 -1208	-	-	CAB79987 -1548
	Other Pols	-	-	-	-	AAG50942 -1067 BAB01162 -1088

^a There are two Pol σ genes in human cells; the analysis was carried out with the *TRF4-1* (POL5) gene, which encodes a protein with demonstrated DNA polymerase activity (M. F. Christman, unpublished results).

^b Pol η and Pol ι appear to have evolved through a lineage-specific duplication in animals, so these two paralogs together should be considered orthologous to the single counterpart in other organisms.

^c Alternative splice sites to produce larger forms of Pol κ from *S. pombe* and *C. elegans* have been proposed (45).

least nine, and *A. thaliana* at least one more distant members of this family of (predicted) polymerases (13).² Detailed phylogenetic analysis of this family remains to be performed. Pol σ is required for sister chromatid cohesion. DNA polymerase activity has only been demonstrated in the *S. cerevisiae* *TRF4* gene product and the human *TRF4-1* gene product (14).³

Pol θ—This DNA polymerase is unique in that the N-terminal domain contains the seven conserved motifs of the DNA and RNA helicase superfamily II, whereas the C-terminal shows strong sequence similarity to *E. coli* DNA polymerase I. Studies with a *Drosophila* Pol θ mutant, designated mus308, suggest a role for this enzyme in DNA repair of interstrand cross-links (59, 60). Fractionated extracts from *Drosophila* mus308 embryos lack a specific DNA polymerase activity present in extracts from wild type, suggesting that mus308 encodes a DNA polymerase (61). This bipartite DNA polymerase is not found in the two yeasts, but putative orthologs were detected in the other three eukaryotic species (Table II).

Pol γ—Surprisingly, no ortholog for the mitochondrial DNA polymerase could be detected in *A. thaliana*. This could either indicate a gap in the data base for this organism or alternatively that mitochondrial DNA replication in plants is either performed by one of the other known DNA polymerases or by a novel DNA polymerase. Interestingly, the BLAST search for

Pol θ in *A. thaliana* returned (in addition to the putative ortholog of Pol θ) two class A DNA polymerases with limited sequence similarity to Pol θ (*E* value of 10⁻¹⁵) but very strong sequence similarity to bacterial DNA polymerase I (*E* values of 10⁻⁴³–10⁻⁴⁸). Possibly, these two DNA polymerases could function in DNA replication of mitochondrial and/or chloroplast DNA.

Additional Putative DNA Polymerases

As mentioned above, two putative DNA polymerases exist in *A. thaliana* for which no orthologs have been found in human. One or both of these may well be required for replication of chloroplast DNA. Otherwise, additional enzyme(s) remain to be identified for replication of chloroplast DNA. Finally, the *S. cerevisiae* *POL5* gene, as well as the homologous *S. pombe* *Pol5* gene, shows only limited sequence similarity with class B DNA polymerases (30). It contains a sequence that is conserved in the two yeasts and resembles the Mg²⁺ binding motif characteristic of the catalytic center of class B DNA polymerases. However, these proteins show significant sequence similarity to eukaryotic leucine zipper-containing transcription factors such as human MYBB1A. In accordance with the proposed new nomenclature rules this putative DNA polymerase has been provisionally designated Pol φ and the name POLF reserved with the HUGO nomenclature committee pending experimental verification.

² E. V. Koonin, unpublished observations.

³ M. F. Christman, unpublished results.

REFERENCES

1. Weissbach, A., Baltimore, D., Bollum, F., Gallo, R., and Korn, D. (1975) *Science* **190**, 401–402
2. Burgers, P. M. J., Bambara, R. A., Campbell, J. L., Chang, L. M. S., Downey, K. M., Hubscher, U., Lee, M. Y. W. T., Linn, S. M., So, A. G., and Spadari, S. (1990) *Eur. J. Biochem.* **191**, 617–618
3. Sharief, F. S., Vojta, P. J., Ropp, P. A., and Copeland, W. C. (1999) *Genomics* **59**, 90–96
4. Aoufouchi, S., Flatter, E., Dahan, A., Faili, A., Bertocci, B., Storck, S., Delbos, F., Cocea, L., Gupta, N., Weill, J. C., and Reynaud, C. A. (2000) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **28**, 3684–3693
5. Dominguez, O., Ruiz, J. F., Lain de Lera, T., Garcia-Diaz, M., Gonzalez, M. A., Kirchhoff, T., Martinez, A. C., Bernad, A., and Blanco, L. (2000) *EMBO J.* **19**, 1731–1742
6. Garcia-Diaz, M., Dominguez, O., Lopez-Fernandez, L. A., de Lera, L. T., Saniger, M. L., Ruiz, J. F., Parraga, M., Garcia-Ortiz, M. J., Kirchhoff, T., del Mazo, J., Bernad, A., and Blanco, L. (2000) *J. Mol. Biol.* **301**, 851–867
7. Tang, M., Shen, X., Frank, E. G., O'Donnell, M., Woodgate, R., and Goodman, M. F. (1999) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **96**, 8919–8924
8. Reuven, N. B., Arad, G., Maor-Shoshani, A., and Livneh, Z. (1999) *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 31763–31766
9. Wagner, J., Gruz, P., Kim, S. R., Yamada, M., Matsui, K., Fuchs, R. P., and Nohmi, T. (1999) *Mol. Cell* **4**, 281–286
10. Johnson, R. E., Prakash, S., and Prakash, L. (1999) *Science* **283**, 1001–1004
11. Masutani, C., Kusumoto, R., Yamada, A., Dohmae, N., Yokoi, M., Yuasa, M., Araki, M., Iwai, S., Takio, K., and Hanaoka, F. (1999) *Nature* **399**, 700–704
12. Aravind, L., and Koonin, E. V. (1998) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **26**, 3746–3752
13. Aravind, L., and Koonin, E. V. (1999) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**, 1609–1618
14. Wang, Z., Castaño, I. B., De Las Peñas, A., Adams, C., and Christman, M. F. (2000) *Science* **289**, 774–779
15. Nagasawa, K., Kitamura, K., Yasui, A., Nimura, Y., Ikeda, K., Hirai, M., Matsukage, A., and Nakanishi, M. (2000) *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 31233–31238
16. Burtis, K. C., and Harris, P. V. (1997) *Curr. Biol.* **7**, R743–R744
17. Harris, P. V. (1999) *Molecular cloning and expression of Drosophila mus308 and human POLQ, a new class of eukaryotic DNA polymerase with potential involvement in the repair of DNA interstrand crosslinks*. Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Davis
18. Johnson, R. E., Prakash, S., and Prakash, L. (2000) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **97**, 3838–3843
19. Ohashi, E., Ogi, T., Kusumoto, R., Iwai, S., Masutani, C., Hanaoka, F., and Ohmori, H. (2000) *Genes Dev.* **14**, 1589–1594
20. Ohashi, E., Bebenek, K., Matsuda, T., Feaver, W. J., Gerlach, V. L., Friedberg, E. C., Ohmori, H., and Kunkel, T. A. (2000) *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 39678–39684
21. Zhang, Y., Yuan, F., Wu, X., Wang, M., Rechkoblit, O., Taylor, J. S., Geacintov, N. E., and Wang, Z. (2000) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **28**, 4138–4146
22. Wang, S. W., Toda, T., MacCallum, R., Harris, A. L., and Norbury, C. (2000) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **20**, 3234–3244
23. Nelson, J. R., Lawrence, C. W., and Hinkle, D. C. (1996) *Nature* **382**, 729–731
24. Ohmori, H., Friedberg, E., Fuchs, R., Goodman, M., Hanaoka, F., Hinkle, D., Kunkel, T., Lawrence, C., Livneh, Z., Nohmi, T., Prakash, L., Prakash, S., Todo, T., Walker, G., Wang, Z., and Woodgate, R. (2001) *Mol. Cell* **8**, 7–8
25. Ito, J., and Braithwaite, D. K. (1991) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **19**, 4045–4057
26. Braithwaite, D. K., and Ito, J. (1993) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **21**, 787–802
27. Cann, I. K., and Ishino, Y. (1999) *Genetics* **152**, 1249–1267
28. Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schaffer, A. A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., and Lipman, D. J. (1997) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**, 3389–3402
29. Leipe, D. D., Aravind, L., and Koonin, E. V. (1999) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**, 3389–3401
30. Sugino, A. (1995) *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **20**, 319–323
31. Lawrence, C. W., and Hinkle, D. C. (1996) *Cancer Surv.* **28**, 21–31
32. Hindges, R., and Hubscher, U. (1997) *Biol. Chem.* **378**, 345–362
33. Burgers, P. M. (1998) *Chromosoma* **107**, 218–227
34. Lawrence, C. W., and Maher, V. M. (2001) *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **356**, 41–46
35. Matsumoto, Y., and Kim, K. (1995) *Science* **269**, 699–702
36. Garcia-Diaz, M., Bebenek, K., Kunkel, T. A., and Blanco, L. (2001) *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 34659–34663
37. Reynaud, C. A., Frey, S., Aoufouchi, S., Faili, A., Bertocci, B., Dahan, A., Flatter, E., Delbos, F., Storck, S., Zober, C., and Weill, J. C. (2001) *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **356**, 91–97
38. Ruiz, J. F., Dominguez, O., Lain de Lera, T., Garcia-Diaz, M., Bernad, A., and Blanco, L. (2001) *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **356**, 99–109
39. Klungland, A., and Lindahl, T. (1997) *EMBO J.* **16**, 3341–3348
40. Kim, K., Biade, S., and Matsumoto, Y. (1998) *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 8842–8848
41. Prasad, R., Widen, S. G., Singhal, R. K., Watkins, J., Prakash, L., and Wilson, S. H. (1993) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **21**, 5301–5307
42. Leem, S. H., Ropp, P. A., and Sugino, A. (1994) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **22**, 3011–3017
43. Friedberg, E. C., and Gerlach, V. L. (1999) *Cell* **98**, 413–416
44. Woodgate, R. (1999) *Genes Dev.* **13**, 2191–2195
45. Johnson, R. E., Washington, M. T., Prakash, S., and Prakash, L. (1999) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **96**, 12224–12226
46. Goodman, M. F., and Tippin, B. (2000) *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **10**, 162–168
47. Hubscher, U., Nasheuer, H. P., and Syvaaja, J. E. (2000) *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **25**, 143–147
48. Livneh, Z. (2001) *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 25639–25642
49. McDonald, J. P., Tissier, A., Frank, E. G., Iwai, S., Hanaoka, F., and Woodgate, R. (2001) *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **356**, 53–60
50. Johnson, R. E., Washington, M. T., Prakash, S., and Prakash, L. (2000) *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 7447–7450
51. Tissier, A., Frank, E. G., McDonald, J. P., Iwai, S., Hanaoka, F., and Woodgate, R. (2000) *EMBO J.* **19**, 5259–5266
52. Johnson, R. E., Washington, M. T., Haracska, L., Prakash, S., and Prakash, L. (2000) *Nature* **406**, 1015–1019
53. Masutani, C., Kusumoto, R., Iwai, S., and Hanaoka, F. (2000) *EMBO J.* **19**, 3100–3109
54. Vaisman, A., Masutani, C., Hanaoka, F., and Chaney, S. G. (2000) *Biochemistry* **39**, 4575–4580
55. Yuan, F., Zhang, Y., Rajpal, D. K., Wu, X., Guo, D., Wang, M., Taylor, J. S., and Wang, Z. (2000) *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 8233–8239
56. Tissier, A., McDonald, J. P., Frank, E. G., and Woodgate, R. (2000) *Genes Dev.* **14**, 1642–1650
57. Zhang, Y., Yuan, F., Wu, X., and Wang, Z. (2000) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **20**, 7099–7108
58. Bebenek, K., Tissier, A., Frank, E. G., McDonald, J. P., Prasad, R., Wilson, S. H., Woodgate, R., and Kunkel, T. A. (2001) *Science* **291**, 2156–2159
59. Harris, P. V., Mazina, O. M., Leonhardt, E. A., Case, R. B., Boyd, J. B., and Burtis, K. C. (1996) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **16**, 5764–5771
60. Sekelsky, J. J., Burtis, K. C., and Hawley, R. S. (1998) *Genetics* **148**, 1587–1598
61. Oshige, M., Aoyagi, N., Harris, P. V., Burtis, K. C., and Sakaguchi, K. (1999) *Mutat. Res.* **433**, 183–192
62. Tatusov, R. L., Koonin, E. V., and Lipman, D. J. (1997) *Science* **278**, 631–637
63. Gerlach, V. L., Feaver, W. J., Fischhaber, P. L., Richardson, J. A., Aravind, L., Koonin, E. V., Bebenek, K., Kunkel, T. A., and Friedberg, E. C. (2000) *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* **65**, 41–49